App. F. IX-4

Revenue

Previous References

1934-35
(May)

Kurg File No. 318-34
Serial Nos. 1-2

Letter References.
Kurg 318-34-7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for February 1936

SUBJECT.

Recovery of certain arrears of Jutter
dues reserved due to Matha Lingeswaraswamy temple
at Santeshahalli, Krishnarajpet

No 1009 dt 27-1-36

To

S. Suryanarayana Rao
Advocate

WD 0J607—GPB—22,500—2-8-35

No interference with the
orders of the Revenue

Muz Appeal no 7 34 of 35

General and Revenue Secretariat | Muz File No. of 1934-5 | [App. F III]

Serial No.

Petition of 19-11-34 from Madiah guardian of minor Veerabba son of Bhadraiya — Santebachahalli — Krishnarajpet Taluk appealing against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner (APPL 21/33-34) of 17-8-1934 in the matter of the recovery of certain arrears of reserve and grain amount being in dues of his father to Sri Mahalingeswara Swamy temple at Santebachahalli Krishnarajpet.

(1) The appeal petn is sufficiently stamped & the M.C's order is appended to the petn. The appeal is in time & if admitted should be admitted & records called for, the guardian Madiah will be asked to produce original the guardian certificate. (3) This may be done if the case should be posted for hearing. 22/11

Put up for orders —

Gv Kere 22/11

The M.C. may be asked to send up a report with the records.

22/11/34

Ch: Secy)

Please do

3/11

22/11

(6) Memo of forwarded much awaiting is for — Krishnarajpet —

GS 23/11

7) 24/11

SECRETARY. 3 NOV. 1934

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

MYD 01955—GPB—22,500-12 6 34

Muz appl 76 34-35 ... re: recovery
arrears of rent and quantum on account
of Sri Mahalingeswara Swami Temple. Santé

File No. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Petition sent to M.C with no 765
of 11-3 for submission
of records.

Petition of 28.4.1935 from
the same gentleman requesting that
an opportunity may be given either
to him or to his Counsel to present
the case in person.

The request is one to be
decided on receipt of records
which have been called for.
Notices have been issued to M.C.
for the file of the connected
records — for perusal —
1 J 98
9.5.35

1975 98 1975

Letter No He 65/34-35 of 24.7.1935
from the Muzrai Commissioner submitting
records connected with the Appeal.
Kind regd is invited to note & orders
in M.C's office. - appl no 3 of 33-34 x
orders are passed without hearing the
petr. The facts of the case are as
in appl 29 of 33-34 & in view of the decision
given by the M.C. no interference
with his orders seems called for. -
petr's counsel has requested
that he & he may be
posted for a hearing -

Mag appl 7 of 34-35 re:
Recovery of arrears of Rescue
due from the Ankad
[App F 111-

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 3 of 193 4-5

Serial No

1) The facts of the case are fully explained in the Mrs office note dy 18/7/34 (Flag R) in Mrs office file appeal no 3 of 33-34. The petr- has not to advert to new facts in his appeal petition. He however prays that he may be heard. There do not seem to be sufficient grounds for ~~[illegible]~~ reconsidering the orders of the Rev C. It is for orders whether the case may be posted for hearing or the appeal rejected.

10/9/35

Gen: Secy)

May be given a hearing

II Member

17/9
21.9
3.10.19

1/10

15/10

2/10

III)
Posted to Friday the 17th January 1936. Time 2 P.M.

27/11

The case was called on Friday the 17th January 1936. Mr. S. Suryanarayana Rao B.A. B.L., Counsel for the petitioner was present & was heard

S 109
17/1

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12 [illegible]

See no reason why to interfere with order of 20/11/35

17/1
20/11/36

ORDER. 10 SEP 1935 252

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 1 OCT 1935 2050

4) Mug appl no 7 of 34-35

Mug File No. 318 of 1934-5 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Dft sub

GS 27/1/36

Gr 1/21/1

No 1009 of 27.1.36 to Suryanarayana & Rao B.A. B.L. Advocate forwarding copy of the decision in the Case.

No 1010 of 27.1.36 to the Mug. Dt Commissioner forwarding for information and necessary action copy of the decision in the Case and returning the records received from him about the subject.

B 4.2.1936





Presented by Petr. Veerappa Minor by guardian Uncle Madiah
19/11/34

Mag 318-35

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. 7 1934 - 35.

Appellant :- Veerappa, Minor
(son of Bhadrayya)
by guardian Uncle Madiah,
Santebachahalli,
Krishnarajapet Taluk.

- - - - -

Under Section 38 of the Regulation VII of 1927, the appellant abovenamed begs to appeal from the order of the Learned Revenue Commissioner in Muzrai Appeal NO: 3 of 33 - 34 for the following among other reasons :-

FACTS OF THE CASE LEADING TO THE PRESENT APPEAL:-

1. The appellant's father Bhadrayya and 3 others were from a long time performing by rotation the duties of the Archak of Mahalingeswara Temple of Santebachahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk and were in possession of the temple lands consisting of 8 survey numbers. In 1916, the authorities directed them to pay Rs 100/- per year for repairing charges of the temple, but no repairs were effected to the temple nor any demands for the amount made till 1920.

2. In 1918 the scale of expenses for -

for padithara for the temple and Archak's salary was fixed and in 1920, five years' lease of temple lands was auctioned for Rs 306/-.

3. Thereupon the Archaks petitioned to the authorities that irrespective of the repairs being effected, they would regularly pay at Rs 100/- if their possession was undisturbed. The prayer was not heeded to.

Again in 1920, Archak Basava Setty went even a step further, deposited Rs 116-13-6 and prayed that the Archaks would in addition to paying the repairing charges meet the expenses for padithara Etc., out of their own pocket if the sale was cancelled and the lands restored to them. The deposit was retained by Government but the land was not restored.

At the sale of the 5 years' lease held in 1920, late Bhadrayya (appellant's father) purchased the lesses' right of only two survey numbers depositing Rs 106/- being a years rent while the other 3 archaks left the village to eke out their livelihood elsewhere. The right of cultivation in respect of the other numbers was purchased by some ryots who got possession thereof and the Archaks had nothing whatever to do with those lands. Bhadrayya being too poor to pay regularly the cash rent, allowed the amount payable by Government to him by way of padithara - Archak's salary etc., to accumulate to his credi

credit, performing all along the worship at his own expense.

On 25-12-25 a notice was issued to Bhadrayya calling upon him to pay Rs 313-8-0 towards arrears in respect of only two survey numbers, and amount-- even more, has since been recovered from out of the amount due to Bhadrayya.

Moreover, the authorities have also been with-holding the amount payable to Bhadrayya towards his salary etc., for Mahalingeswara Temple also at Rs 45/- a year in respect of another temple, Veerabhadra Temple. The calculations as made by the authorities of the amounts due by Government to the late Bhadrayya are, it is submitted, incorrect and based on wrong and incorrect reports of the Taluk authorities. This appellant had brought to the Learned Muzrai Commissioner's notice by way of illustration a specific item of amount of Rs 72-9-0 for which he held a treasury receipt and for which the authorities had failed to give credit, and begged that the entire amounts in this connection might be got scrutinized to arrive at a correct figure of amount due to or from Bhadrayya; but the learned Muzrai Commissioner, while directing the particular item of receipt to be given credit to, has not heeded to this appellants prayer to have the accounts scrutinized.

The authorities gave all the lands to

to Bhadrayya only in 1931 on an annual rent of Rs 47/- . Bhadrayya died in December 1932. To the astonishment of the appellant a communication dated 24-4-33 conveying the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Order was sent to him from the Taluk authorities claiming a very large sum on incorrect basis. On an appeal being preferred in Muzrai Appl[illegible] 3 of 33 - 34 the learned Muzrai Commissioner has failed to consider all the aspects of the case. Hence the present appeal.

GROUNDS OF APPEAL.

1. The amount claimed as being due from the late Bhadrayya is incorrect in as much as :-

(a). the authorities have wrongly been under the impression that Bhadrayya has been paid regularly from 1923, while out of the amount due to him from 1921 to 1925

For salary	-	Rs 144-0-0.
Daily (ನಿತ್ಯಗಟ್ಲೆ)		243-0-0.
Extra (ಹೆಚ್ಚುಗಟ್ಲೆ) at 8-14-6 per year.		35-10-0.
	Rs	422-10-0.
the amount received on 8-10-23 by Bhadrayya is Rs 18/- 35-7-0 and 1-10-0 respectively.		55-1-0.
Balance.	Rs	367-9-0.

still remains unpaid which amount in full has not been taken into account.

(b). That out of the item "Extra" (ಹೆಚ್ಚುಗಟ್ಲೆ) of Rs 53-7-0 for 6 years from 1925 to 1931 at Rs 8-14-6 per year, Bhadrayya has been paid on

on 29-8-31 a sum of Rs 2-15-6 and the balance of Rs 50-7-6 is altogehter left out of account.

(c). Bhadrayya has not at all been paid any amount whatever from 8-10-1923 to June 1925.

(d). The Taluk authorities have erroneously calculated tne rent from 1921 - 22 on the entire temple lands for 4 years as being due by Bhadrayya while he was in possession of only two survey numbers for 4 years from 1921 - 22 and the ryots and others who had purchased the right to cultivate were in possession of the rest for 4 years as bidders.

2. This appellant humbly prays that the entire records may kindly be sent for and the entire accounts be directed to be scrutinized with a view to arrive at the liability or otherwise of Bhadrayya, who, according to this humble appellant, is entitled to a large amount from Government towards his unpaid remuneration.

3. The decision of the courts- below is oth rwise opposed to law, facts on record and all probabilities in the case and is liable to be reversed and justice done to this humble appellant.

Bangalore City. Appellant.
19th. Nov. 1934.

765 / Mem of 26-11-
Reg 318-38-2
forwarded with compliments

Commissioner for Labour &c &c

together with entire records in
both the Case.

HC&S 1934-35

By order

B Muddappa
24/11/34
for Chief Secretary to Govt
GS

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Appl 21/33-34

Dated Bangalore, 17 .....193 .

Endorsement *granted to* .....

Petition Register No......

Dated.....

Subject :—

.....

.....

.....

.....

in reply to his Petition Register No. as per margin.

The Petitioner is informed that .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Muzrai Commissioner.

WD 01611 GPL—250—3-5-34

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
1214
19 - MAY 1935
MADRAS

Proc. 318-38-3

GEN. SECRETARIAT
8 MAY 1935

శ్రీ గిడుగు రామమూర్తి పంతులు గారికి

కాం నిడదవోలు మధ్యవర్తి రంగా —

శ్రీ గిడుగు రామమూర్తి పంతులు గారి ప్రేమ్లు, బారిష్టరు గారు కాంగ్రెసు కమిటీ చిహ్నము లంగీకరించిరని అనగా వ్యాకరణపు చిహ్నము అనుగా వ్యాకరణపు చిహ్నము అని అర్థము వచ్చునట్లు అనువాదము చేసినందున ఆ చిహ్నము వద్దని నిశ్చయించి ఆ విషయమును ప్రకటించుటకు ఈ నెల 19-11-34 వ తేదీన ఆ చిహ్నములు వెనుకకు పంపివేసిరి.

ఈ విధముగా నిశ్చయించిన చిహ్నమును ఆ నిశ్చయించిన తేదీ నుండి ఆ చిహ్నము కాంగ్రెసు సంస్థకు సంబంధించిన అన్ని విషయములలోను ఉపయోగించుటకు ఆ చిహ్నము మీ వద్ద నుండి తీసుకొనుటకు ఏర్పాటు చేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

తేదీ: 28-4-1934

శ్రీ గిడుగు రామమూర్తి పంతులు గారు ప్రతిపాదించిన 347 ప్రతిపాదనలలో ఏ ప్రతిపాదన ఎన్నిసార్లు ఆమోదించబడినదో ఆ ప్రతిపాదనలు ఎన్నిసార్లు ఆమోదించబడినవో ఆ సంఖ్యలు వ్యాకరణపు చిహ్నము గారి వద్ద నుండి తీసుకొనుటకు ఏర్పాటు చేయవలసినదిగా

RC 08
KRISHNARAJAPET

NER,

.193 5.

H. V. Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Appeal Petition of Veerappa (minor) by guardian uncle Madiah, Santebachahalli, Krishnarajapet Taluk in re: proceedings taken against him for the recovery of certain arrears of reserve and gutta due to Maha Lingeswaraswami Temple at Santebachahalli, Krishnarajapet.

Adverting to your Memo No.765/Muz. dated 26th November 1934, calling for a report together with the entire records on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of vernacular records received from the Deputy Commissioner, Kysore District, with his letter No.H.630/34 dated the 25th June 1935, as also this office file No.App.3/33-34 dated the 20th August 1934, and to state that the facts of the case are contained in this office order.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

D. No 567
తెలుగు



పేర్కొనుండలు శ్రీకాకుళం మండలాధికారి వారసాంనగ

మీ ఆజ్ఞానుసారం

కృష్ణా జిల్లాలోని కొన్ని కాల్వలకు నీరు ఇవ్వడం
ఇక్కడ ఉన్న ఆంధ్ర ప్రాంతీయ ప్రాంతీయ ప్రాంతీయ
మద్రాసు శాసనసభ్యులు గారి ద్వారా మాకు
కొన్ని ప్రశ్నలు పంపినారు

మద్రాసు ప్రభుత్వం వారు ఈ ప్రశ్నలకు
సమాధానాలు ఇవ్వడానికి ఈ క్రింది
తేదీ 19-11-34 లోపల అందజేయవలసి
ఉన్నది

ఈ క్రింది ప్రశ్నలకు సమాధానాలు
ఈ ఆఫీసు ద్వారా పంపవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాము
మీరు ఈ ప్రశ్నలకు సమాధానాలు ఇచ్చి
తిరిగి మాకు పంపవలసిందిగా కోరుచున్నాము

ఇట్లు
మీ విశ్వాసపాత్రులు
ఆఫీసు

తేదీ 5-9-1935

Before the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai Appeal No.7 of 1934-35.

Petitioner.

Veerappa, son of Bhadraiya, minor by
guardian uncle Madiah of
Santhebachahalli, Krishnarajpet Taluk.

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in the matter of the recovery of certain arrears of reserve and gutta amount from the petitioner being the dues of his father to Sri Mahalingeswara Swami Temple at Santebachahalli Krishnarajpet Taluk.

++++

The case was called on Friday the 17th January 1936. Mr.S. Suryanarayana Rao, B.A., LL.B., Counsel for the petitioner was present and was heard.

O R D E R.

Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Muzrai Commissioner.

Memo No 6009/Mus 318-36-6 27-1-36

Copy to Mr.S.Suryanarayana Rao, B.A., LL.B., Advocate, for the petitioner.

By order,

Sd. M. Venkatesa Iyengar

General Secretary to Government.

Memo No 1010/Mus 318-36-6 27-1-36

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for information and necessary action. The records received with his letter No.M.C.65/34-35 dated 4th July 1935 are herewith returned.

By order,

B Muniswamy Shetty

General Secretary to Government.

ev. 21]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Mlg, Appeal No. 7 of 1934-35

~~Appellant~~ Petitioner

Veerappa, son of Bhadraiya,
minor by guardian elle
Madiah of Santhekaradka
Krishnarajpet Tk.

Vs.

Respondent.

Subject.

Appeal against the order in the matter of the recovery of certain arrears of rent-due and extra amount from the petitioner being the dues of his father to Sri Mahalingeshwara Swami Temple at Santhekaradka Krishnarajpet Tk.

The case has come on for hearing on 17th January 1936 — Mr. V. Venkatagiri appeared for the petitioner was present and was heard.

Order

See no reason to interfere.

WD 0533—GPB—750—21-7-37

In the order of the Immigration Comm

Memo No 1009/Rec 318-36 6

Copy to N.L. - S. Narayanayya Rao B.A. LLB. Vide

for the petitioner.

B. O

1/2/11

For Gen see.

Memo No 1910/Rec 318-36-6 dt 27-1-36

Evel Rejoins

Copy to the N.C. for information and necessary

action. The records received with his letter no.

60 - 34-35 dt 24.7.1935 are now returned

B. O

1/2/11

Gen Sec

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
139
1 AUG 1935

మిస్సమ్మ

ఆఫీసరవారికి

మిస్సమ్మ జిల్లాలో రెండు కోర్టులున్నందున ఆ జిల్లాలో ఏర్పడే కేసులు ఏ కోర్టులో విచారణ జరుగవలెనో నిర్ణయించుటకు ఒక ఆర్డినెన్సు ఆవశ్యకమని మీరు అభిప్రాయపడినట్లు మీ 30/7 నాటి ఉత్తరమువలన తెలియుచున్నది. ఈ విషయమై ఆర్డినెన్సు ముసాయిదా ఒకటి తయారుచేసి పంపవలసినదిగా మీరు కోరినందున ఇందుతో జతపరచి పంపుచున్నాము. ఈ ముసాయిదా ఆర్డినెన్సు ఆమోదయోగ్యమైనదో కాదో మీ అభిప్రాయమును తెలియజేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

ఈ ముసాయిదా ఆర్డినెన్సు ప్రకారము ఏ కేసులు ఏ కోర్టులో విచారణ జరుగవలెనో నిర్ణయించుటకు ఒక అధికారిని నియమించవలసియున్నది. ఈ అధికారిని నియమించుటకు ఏ పద్ధతి అవలంబించవలెనో మీ అభిప్రాయమును తెలియజేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము. ఈ అధికారిని నియమించుటకు ఒక పద్ధతి ఏర్పరచిన తరువాత ఆ పద్ధతి ప్రకారము ఆ అధికారిని నియమించుటకు ఆర్డినెన్సులో తగిన మార్పులు చేయవలసియుండును. ఈ విషయమును కూడ మీ అభిప్రాయమును తెలియజేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

ఈ ముసాయిదా ఆర్డినెన్సు ఆమోదయోగ్యమైనదో కాదో మీ అభిప్రాయమును తెలియజేయవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.